ON THE CONSTRUCTION OF GRADED LIE ALGEBRAS

Ъу

Nigel Backhouse

Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 3BX, England.

The purpose of this contribution is to initiate a classification of graded Lie algebras (GLA) by dimension, for use in the future as a source of examples which exhibit some of the similarities and differences between ordinary Lie algebras (LA) and GLA.

A GLA L = L $_{0}$ \oplus L $_{1}$ consists of an even part L $_{0}$, which is a LA, and an odd part L $_{1}$, which in particular is an L $_{0}$ -module. There is a bilinear bracket operation which satisfies conditions (1) - (7) below. We say that L and L' are graded isomorphic (or equivalent) if there are isomorphisms L $_{0}$ \leftarrow > L $_{0}$ and L $_{1}$ \leftarrow > L $_{1}$ which preserve the bracket. We can ask the question: given a LA L $_{0}$ and an L $_{0}$ -module M, how many GLA L = L $_{0}$ \oplus L $_{1}$ can we construct where L $_{1}$ and M are isomorphic as L $_{0}$ -modules. Answering this question is the basis for the classification scheme.

It is convenient to distinguish two types of GLA: we say that L is trivial if $[L_1, L_1] = \{0\}$; otherwise, L is non-trivial. We note that a non-trivial GLA can be trivialized simply by putting to zero all anticommutators. In general we advocate classifying trivial GLA and then attempting to de-trivialize them.

We say that L is an (m, n) algebra and has dimension $m \oplus n$ if dim L₀(resp. L₁) is m (resp. n). We only consider $m + n \le 3$. The elements of L₀(resp. L₁) are denoted by Latin letters (resp. Greek letters) taken from the beginning of the alphabet. Then the commutativity and the Jacobi relations for L are

$$[a, b] = -[b, a],$$
 (1)
 $[a, \alpha] = -[\alpha, a],$ (2)
 $[\alpha, \beta] = [\beta, \alpha],$ (3)

for all a, b ϵ Lo, α , β ϵ L, , and

$$[[a, b], c] + [[b, c], a] + [[c, a], b] = 0, (4)$$

$$[[a, b], \alpha] + [[b, \alpha], a] + [[\alpha, a], b] = 0, (5)$$

$$[[a, \alpha], \beta] + [[\alpha, \beta], a] - [[\beta, a], \alpha] = 0, (6)$$

$$[[\alpha, \beta], \gamma] + [[\beta, \gamma], \alpha] + [[\gamma, \alpha], \beta] = 0, (7)$$

for all a, b, c, ϵ L₀, α,β , $\gamma \in$ L₁.

We first consider the trivial algebras. The (m, 0) algebras, $m \le 3$, are LA and have been classified. The (0, n) algebra is the direct sum of n copies of the (0, 1) algebra defined by the anticommutator $[\alpha, \alpha] = 0$. This leaves us the task of classifying the (1, 1), (1, 2) and (2, 1) indecomposable trivial algebras. (1, 1): the bracket relation between basis elements is $[a, \alpha] = p \alpha$. Either p = 0, which gives a decomposable algebra, or, if $p \ne 0$, we can scale to give p = 1.

(1, 2): The action of a on the basis α, β is defined by a real 2×2 matrix which can be taken in one of the following forms: (1) $\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix}$; (2) $\begin{pmatrix} p & 1 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$; (3) $\begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ -q & p \end{pmatrix}$, where $q \neq 0$ and p/q > 0. In case (1) we can assume |p| > |s| > 0. Then by scaling we can take p = 1 and 0 < |s| < 1. In case (2), either p = 0 or we can scale to give p = 1. In case (3) we can scale to give q = 1 and p > 0.

(2, 1): There are two choices for L_o : either the decomposable Abelian algebra or the indecomposable algebra with non-trivial relation [a, b] = b. Suppose [a, α] = p α , [b, α] = q α . When L_o is Abelian we can reduce p or q to zero, which decomposes L_o . When L_o is non-Abelian the relation (5) forces q = 0.

We now find the non-trivial algebras. There are no (m, 0) or (0, n) non-trivial GLA. We can quickly dispose of the (1, n) algebras. If α, β are any two basis elements of L₁ we can write $[\alpha, \beta] = S_{\alpha\beta}$ a, where S is a real, symmetric matrix. By a linear transformation we can take S in diagonal form: $S_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} S_{\alpha}$. Either all of the S_{α} are zero, in which case L is trivial, or at least one, S_{α} say, is non-zero. In this case, put $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$ in (7) to give $S_{\alpha} = \beta$ in (7). As $\gamma \neq \alpha$ and $S_{\alpha} \neq \beta$ this condition leads to $[\alpha, \alpha] = 0$. It follows that $\gamma \neq \alpha$ decouples unless $S_{\gamma} \neq 0$. Hence the only way to obtain an indecomposable algebra is to have $S_{\alpha} \neq 0$ and $[\alpha, \alpha] = 0$ for all basis elements α . The α can be scaled to ensure $S_{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} \beta$. Finally, possibly permuting the α and changing the sign of a leads to the β the β or β the sign of a leads to the β the β or β algebras.

We can also discuss (m, 1) algebras in some generality. We can assume a basis $\{a_i\}$ for Lo , in which either (a) $[a_i,\alpha]$ = 0 for all

i or (b) [a_1 , α] = α and [a_1 , α] = 0 for all i > 1. The relations (6) and (7) give [[α , α], a_1] = 2 [[α , a_1], α], for all i, and 3 [[α , α], α] = 0. In case (a) we deduce that [α , α] lies in the centre of Lo. It is not hard to see that indecomposability leads to the rejection of this case. In case (b) I = { α \in Lo:

[a, α]=0] forms an ideal of codimension one in Lo containing $[\alpha, \alpha]$ = b in its centre. Evidently we can write [a, b] = 2b which implies that Lo is non-Abelian. For m = 2 we can write [a, b] = b, $[a, \alpha] = \frac{1}{2}\alpha$, $[\alpha, \alpha] = b$ where $a = \frac{1}{2}a$.

From this analysis we find that the number of families of equivalence classes of indecomposable real GLA, which are not LA, in dimensions one, two and three, are 1, 2 and 11, respectively. The corresponding numbers for ordinary LA are 1, 1 and 9. These numbers of course depend on our unspecified definition of a family of equivalence classes. We have, for example, for reasons which will be given in a future publication, separated, for the trivial (1, 2) algebras in case (1), the values of s, 0 < |s| < 1, $s = \pm 1$.

In a future publication we will extend the above classification to dimension four, and give tabluations of derived series, radical, Killing form, etc.